

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

H.B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,967	03/15/2004	Kenneth Shotwell		2548	
759	90 08/04/2006		EXAMINER		
Stephen E. Fel		SEMBER, T	SEMBER, THOMAS M		
12 East 41st Stre New York, NY	T T T	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2875		
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/8	301,967	SHOTWELL ET A	AL.
		Exa	miner	. Art Unit	
			mas M. Sember	2875	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears	on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence ac	ddress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months affect patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nication. Itory period will apply ill, by statute, cause to the statute.	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a If and will expire SIX (6) MOI Ithe application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2t Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This action or allowance ex	— n is non-final. cept for formal mat	• •	e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) □ 6) ፟⊠ 7) ፟⊠ 8) □ <b>Applicati</b> 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10,13-23,26 and 27 is/are Claim(s) 11,12,24 and 25 is/are object Claim(s) are subject to restricti  on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection	e withdrawn from the properties of the contract of the contrac	tion requirement. or b)⊡ objected to g(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to I		•	•	• •
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International see the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have ocuments have the priority do al Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in A cuments have been  [ Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National	Stage
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/15/04</u> .		Paper No(	s)/Mail Date  nformal Patent Application (PTG	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/801,967

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - 1.) In claim 4 "the UV power supply" lacks a proper antecedent basis.
- 2.) In claim 5 "the white light socket" and "the white light switch lacks a proper antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 13, 15 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hopkins. Hopkins discloses a UV light source 326; a white light source 302 (see column 6, lines 33-35); a UV sub-housing 304; a white light sub-housing 310 and 312; wherein: the UV light source is contained in the UV sub housing and the white light some is contained in the white light sub-housing so that the white light source is separated from the UV light source, preventing interference between the UV light

Application/Control Number: 10/801,967

Art Unit: 2875

source and the white light source within the device. The UV sub housing includes an inner reflective surface 402.

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 4-10 and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hopkins. Hopkins discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching of the specific type of light source being used. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a light source with a light socket, a light source having an ignitor and transformer, a halogen light, an incandescent light, a fluorescent light or a light with a dimmer for the white light of Hopkins in order to provide a well known alternative low voltage light source.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 14 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hopkins. Hopkins discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that the white light includes a reflective surface. Hopkins teaches an inner reflective surface for a UV light source. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the white light of Hopkins to include a reflective surface as taught a by Hopkins in order to efficiently reflect light.

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11-12 and 24-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ott, Duggan and Ohm et al disclose lighting assemblies which are similar to applicant's invention

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is 571-272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,967

Art Unit: 2875

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas M Sember
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2875